



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/683,795      | 02/15/2002                                      | Frank Olschewski     | 21295/41                | 5943             |
| 29127           | 7590 07/16/2003                                 |                      |                         |                  |
| HOUSTON I       |   | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |
|                 | 4 MILITIA DRIVE, SUITE 4<br>LEXINGTON, MA 02421 |                      | SEALEY, LANCE W         |                  |
|                 |   |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                 |   |                      | 2671                    | $\overline{C}$   |
|                 |   |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003 | P                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No.  Op(683,795   OLSCHEWSKI ET AL.  Examiner   Art Unit   Lance W. Sealey   2671   The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  Period for Repty  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE   MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.  Is the particle of the remay be available under the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(b), in ordered, however, may a largy be firred yillow the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(b), in ordered, however, may a largy be firred yillow the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(b), in ordered, however, may a largy be firred yillow the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(b), in ordered, however, may a largy be firred yillow the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(b), in ordered, however, may a largy be firred yillow the provision of the provision of 37 CPR 1.136(b), in ordered, however, may a largy be firred yillow the provision of the pr       | •   |  | A multipolitica No.                   | Annih and a       |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Examiner   |   |  | Application No.                       | Applicant(s)      |  |  |  |
| Lance W. Sealey  2871  | Office Action Commence  |  | 09/683,795                            | OLSCHEWSKI ET AL. |  |  |  |
| - The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE   |   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner                              | Art Unit          |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time-may be available under the provisions of 37 °CR* 1.15(a), in no event, however, may a raply be timely filled.  - Extensions of time-may be available under the provisions of 37 °CR* 1.15(a), in no event, however, may a raply be timely filled.  - Extensions of time-may be available under the provisions of 37 °CR* 1.15(a), in no event, however, may a raply be timely filled.  - If NO period for regly is appointed above, the maximum statutory particle will apply and will export SX (8) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for regly is appointed above, the maximum statutory particle will apply and will export SX (8) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication.  - Any regly received by the officies the three mailors after the mailing date of this communication, event if involy filled, may reduce stary cannot pattern term adjustment. See 37 °CRR 1.794(b).  - Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 15 February 2002.  - 2a) □ This action is in FINAL.  - 2b) □ This action is in Inval.  - 2b) □ This action is in FINAL.  - 2b) □ This action is in Inval.  - 2b) □ This action is in FINAL.  - 2b) □ This action is in Inval.  - 2c) □ This action is in the application.  - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are adjustment.  - 4b) □ Claim(s) 121 is/are allowed.  - 6c) □ Claim(s) 121 is/are allowed.  - 6c) □ Claim(s) 121 is/are allowed.  - 6c) □ Claim(s)  |   |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                   |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extrasions of time raps be available under the provision of 3 CFR in 13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTISE from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified used for the communication.  If NO period for reply is specified body, the maximum assacry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTISE from the mailing date of this communication.  Falleve is reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to secone ARANDONED (58 U.S. C.§ 1130).  Any raphy received by the Office the Thin Privan Pri |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-7.9 and 10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 8 and 11 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) proved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   | <ul> <li>THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul> |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Wolice of References Cited (PTO-948)  | 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 F                                       | ebruary 2002 .                        |                   |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)   |   |  | -                                     |                   |  |  |  |
| 4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-7.9 and 10 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 8 and 11 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  15]  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 1-7,9 and 10 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 8 and 11 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  16) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.   | •   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12-18 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7.9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8 and 11 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  | •   | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.                        |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 6   Claim(s) 1-7.9 and 10 is/are rejected. 7   Claim(s) 8 and 11 is/are objected to. 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)   Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)   |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| T) Claim(s) 8 and 11 is/are objected to.  8  | •   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 8   Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9   The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10   The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)   accepted or b)   objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11   The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)   approved b)   disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12   The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All   b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.   Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)   Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)   Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  | · ·   | •  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some column None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  b) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)  approved b)  disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | ·· _  | ·  | r                                     |                   |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | •   |  |                                       | miner             |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 11) 🗆 🗆   | • •  | = • •                                 | • •               |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.  |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 13)   Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)   All b)   Some * c)   None of:  1.  | Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.                  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |  |                                       |                   |  |  |  |
|  | 2) D Notice   | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                | 5) Notice of Informal F               |                   |  |  |  |

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowed and Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claims 12-18 are allowed, and claims 8 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. No prior art anticipates or suggests a method for imaging and measuring microscopic three-dimensional structures, wherein at least one obliquely oriented plane or a set of discrete points is determined in a volume, the at least one obliquely located plane is determined or selected by way of a corresponding graphical drawing tool or an automatic function, and the obliquely oriented plane is marked with a continuous line (claim 8); wherein the selected volume represents a three-dimensional curve; and a scanning microscope is controlled in such a way that only points on the three-dimensional spatial curve are scanned (claim 11); a (separate) second window shown on the display for depicting a rotational view, and a (separate) third window shown on the display for a visual depiction of the coordinates, the rotation angle, and a section position (claim 12). The word "(separate)" has been added by the examiner only to more specifically emphasize what is not being taught by the cited reference; the word "separate" is not part of claim 12. Claims 13-18 are allowed because they depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 12.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) which forms the basis for all novelty rejections set forth in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless—

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hellmuth et al. ("Hellmuth," U.S. Pat. No. 5,795,295).
- 4. Hellmuth, in disclosing an oct-assisted surgical microscope with multi-coordinate manipulator, also discloses, with respect to claim 1, a method for imaging and measuring microscopic three-dimensional structures comprising:
  - depicting a data set in three-dimensional form on a display associated with a microscope (col.8, ll.13-19);
  - defining at least one arbitrary section position and an arbitrary rotation angle (col.11, ll.38-43);
  - rotating the three-dimensional depiction on the display until a structure contained
    in the three-dimensional form reproduces on the display a depiction that appears
    suitable to the user for further processing (col.11, ll.25-30); and
  - performing an analytical operation on the structure (col.11, ll.48-51).
- 5. Concerning claim 6, Hellmuth discloses at least one length to be measured is determined in an imaged volume, the length being defined by a first and a second position and the geometric distance between the two positions representing the desired length (col.10, ll.6-17).
- 6. Therefore, in view of the foregoing, claims 1 and 6 are rejected as being anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) by Hellmuth.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hellmuth in view of Moller et al., Real-Time Rendering ("Moller").
- 9. Hellmuth further discloses, with respect to claim 2, corresponding section planes calculated upon definition of the section position (col.11, ll.38-43). However, Hellmuth does not disclose the calculation of a transformation matrix upon definition of the rotation angle; this concept is disclosed by the Moller textbook in section 3.1.2, pp.26-27.
- 10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Hellmuth microscope apparatus in view of the transformation matrix concept disclosed in Moller. Such a modification to Hellmuth aids in positioning, reshaping and animating objects (Moller, p.23, first paragraph).
- 11. The other claim in this rejection will now be considered. Concerning claim 3, Moller discloses the corresponding section planes depicted in the display, and the depiction of the sectional geometry is implemented in the form of a wire-frame model made of an outer and an inner cuboid (Figure 6.26 and Section 6.7.3, pp.185-186; the outer cuboid is the outside of the wire-frame box; the inner cuboid is the inside of the wire-frame box).

- 12. Accordingly, in view of the foregoing, claims 2 and 3 are rejected as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103 by Hellmuth and Moller.
- 13. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hellmuth in view of Banitt (U.S. Pat. No. 5,963,247) and Lemelson (U.S. Pat. No. 6,400,980).
- 14. With respect to claim 4, Hellmuth further discloses an "orthosectioning" view assembled in a first window (video monitor 210, FIG.1) from multiple images each with a different viewing direction (col.11, ll.25-30 and 35-38).
- 15. However, Hellmuth does not disclose the images lined up with each other at the corresponding edges; this element is disclosed by the Banitt visual display system in FIG.2A.
- 16. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Hellmuth microscope apparatus in view of the Banitt display. Such a modification to Hellmuth enhances the effect of three-dimensionality (Banitt, col.11, ll.24-33).
- 17. However, neither Hellmuth nor Banitt disclose crosshairs indicating the current position of the images; this element is disclosed by the crosshairs in the Lemelson display at col.6, ll.50-56.
- 18. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Banitt display in view of the Lemelson display. Such a modification to Banitt facilitates use of the display (Lemelson, col.6, ll.50-52).
- 19. The other claim in this rejection will now be considered. Concerning claim 5, Lemelson discloses the position of the images modified interactively by way of the crosshairs by

manipulation by means of an input device (col.6, ll.50-52), such that in the individual regions (taught by Hellmuth), the images are updated during modification and the image content is modified accordingly.

- 20. Accordingly, in view of the foregoing, claims 4 and 5 are rejected as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103 by Hellmuth, Banitt and Lemelson.
- 21. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hellmuth in view of Drost et al. ("Drost", U.S. Pat. No. 6,538,732).
- 22. Hellmuth does not disclose a user navigating into the plane in which the first position is located, and marks it; the user then navigates into the plane in which the second position is located, and marks it; and based on the first and second position, a PC calculates and visualizes the geometric distance. These elements are disclosed by the Drost inspection system at col.8, 11.4-35).
- 23. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Hellmuth microscope in view of the Drost technology. Such a modification to Hellmuth provides the user with measurement of a selected object in the field of view (Drost, col.8, ll.4-5).
- Accordingly, in view of the foregoing, claim 7 is rejected as being unpatentable under 35U.S.C. 103 by Hellmuth and Drost.
- 25. Finally, claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hellmuth in view of Rittman, III et al. ("Rittman", U.S. Pat. No. 6,575,969).
- 26. Hellmuth does not disclose, with respect to claim 9, multiple surfaces assembled into a

stack that represents a three-dimensional volume, and specific analyses performed on the volume. These elements are disclosed by the Rittman cool-tip radio frequency thermosurgery electrode system for tumor ablation. The stack is disclosed at col.18, ll.9-19, and the analyses are disclosed at col.18, ll.18-26.

- 27. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the Hellmuth microscope apparatus in view of the Rittman apparatus. Such a modification to Hellmuth would aid in locating tumors (Rittman, col.18, ll.18-26).
- 28. The other claim in this rejection will now be considered. Concerning claim 10, Rittman discloses scan parameters applied exclusively to the selected volume (scan parameters="criterion" in col.18, ll.19-20).
- 29. Accordingly, in view of the foregoing, claims 9-10 are rejected as being unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103 by Hellmuth and Rittman.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Office should be directed to the examiner, Lance Sealey, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0026. He can be reached from 7:00 am-3:30 pm Monday-Friday EDT.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

MS Non-Fee Amendment

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office at (703) 306-0377.

Respectfully submitted,

Lance W. Sealey, examiner